

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,216	11/19/2003	Scott Salys	A03P1076	9041	
36802	7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
PACESETTER, INC.			FAULCON JR, LENWOOD		
15900 VALLE SYLMAR, CA	Y VIEW COURT A 91392-9221		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
512.min, 611 /13/2 /221			3762	3762	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^
12
1//
$\overline{}$

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/718,216	SALYS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Lenwood Faulcon, Jr.	3762

	Lenwood Faulcon, Jr.	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further count (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in both	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues to
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		empliant Amendment	(DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).		mplant Amendment	(F10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Both the Doan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,456,708) and Ho the previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) via 35 U.S. the references are statutory bars.	off et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,522,872	2) references qualify a	s prior art for
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:	, .,		<u>.</u> •
		GEORGE R. I	EVANISKO

PRIMARY EXAMINER